

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

c 10

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	ATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/699,810	11/03/2003	Tsuneo Hattori	1828.001US2	4457	
21186	7590 09/20/2005		EXAMINER		
	AN, LUNDBERG, WOE	DEVI, SARVAMANGALA J N			
P.O. BOX 293 MINNEAPOL	8 IS, MN 55402-0938	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		1645		
		·	DATE MAILED: 09/20/200:	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			10/699,810	HATTORI ET AL					
			Examiner	Art Unit					
			S. Devi, Ph.D.	1645					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status	•								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on 03 Nov	emher 2003						
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.								
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
-	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> js/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
· <u> </u>	Claim(s)israte objected to.  Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
	on Papers		•						
	•	C							
•	The specification is objected to by the		tod or b\□ objected t	- h., tha F.,					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT		Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO	O-152)				
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT		Paper No 5)  Notice of	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)				

Serial Number: 10/699,810

Art Unit: 1645

## RESTRICTION

1) Claims 1-17 are under prosecution.

- 2) Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-8, drawn to an immunostimulator comprising swine plasma, and an animal feed, a pharmaceutical, a food or beverage product, and an animal feed comprising the same, classified in class 530, subclass 830
  - II. Claims 9-17, drawn to a method of preventing infectious disease comprising administering the immunostimulator of invention I, classified in class 424, subclass 184.1
  - III. Claims 9-17, drawn to a method of preventing cancer comprising administering the immunostimulator of invention I, classified in class 424, subclass 277.1
- Invention I is related to inventions II and III as product and processes of using the product. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process of using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the swine plasma of invention I can be used in a materially different process, for example, as a non-immunostimulator, i.e., as an immunoglobulin-containing prophylactic agent in passive immunotherapy, or as a diagnostic reagent.
- 4) Inventions I, II and III are distinct from one another. Invention I is drawn to a product, whereas inventions II and III are directed to methods that are distinct from one another in the in the disease or the clinical condition that is being prevented. Clearly, an infectious disease and cancer would require separate and non-coextensive searches.

Similarly, a search for swine plasma immunostimulator, and a search for method to treat cancer and infectious disease comprising administering swine plasma immunostimulator, are not coextensive. The search for invention II or invention III would require a text search for the method of prevention of cancer and infectious disease in addition to a search for swine plasma immunostimulator. Moreover, even if the swine plasma immunostimulator were known, the method, which uses the product, may be novel and unobvious in view of the preamble or active steps.

Serial Number: 10/699,810

Art Unit: 1645

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification/subclassification and divergent subject matter, and because the search required for each group is not required for the other groups since each group requires a different non-patent literature search due to each group comprising different clinical conditions to be prevented, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- The Office has required restriction between product and process claims. Where Applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.
- In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See 'Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)', 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. *Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.* Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.
- 7) Applicants are advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 C.F.R

Serial Number: 10/699,810

Art Unit: 1645

1.143).

- 8) Applicants are reminded that upon cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under C.F.R 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R 1.17(h).
- Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600, AU 1645 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be transmitted via the PTO Fax Center, which receives transmissions 24 hours a day and 7 days a week. The transmission of such papers by facsimile must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30, November 15, 1989. The Fax number for submission of amendments, responses and/or papers is (571) 273-8300.
- 10) Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAG or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.Mov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAA system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).
- 11) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to S. Devi, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0854. A message may be left on the Examiner's voice mail system. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7.15 a.m. to 4.15 p.m. except one day each bi-week, which would be disclosed on the Examiner's voice mail system.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Lynette Smith, can be reached on (571) 272-0864.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

S. DEVI, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER